# CMSC 478 Machine Learning

KMA Solaiman ksolaima@umbc.edu

(originally prepared by Tommi Jaakkola, MIT CSAIL)

## Today's topics

- Perceptron, convergence
  - the prediction game
  - mistakes, margin, and generalization
- Maximum margin classifier -- support vector machine
  - estimation, properties
  - allowing misclassified points

#### Recall: linear classifiers

• A linear classifier (through origin) with parameters  $\underline{\theta}$  divides the space into positive and negative halves

$$f(\underline{x};\underline{\theta}) = \operatorname{sign}(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(\underline{\theta}_1 x_1 + \ldots + \underline{\theta}_d x_d)$$
$$= \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x} > 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x} \le 0 \end{cases}$$
discriminant function



## The perceptron algorithm

• A sequence of examples and labels

$$(\underline{x}_t, y_t), t = 1, 2, \dots$$

• The perceptron algorithm applied to the sequence

Initialize:  $\underline{\theta} = 0$ For t = 1, 2, ...if  $y_t(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_t) \le 0$  (mistake)  $\underline{\theta} \leftarrow \underline{\theta} + y_t x_t$ 

We would like to bound the number of mistakes that the algorithm makes

## Mistakes and margin



Easy problem

- large margin
- few mistakes



- small margin
- many mistakes









- A random point X
  - right side of the hyper plane or
  - left side of the hyper plane



- $\vec{w}$  is perpendicular to the hyperplane
- distance of w from origin to decision boundary is c

 $\vec{X}.\vec{w} = c \quad (the \ point \ lies \ on \ the \ decision \ boundary)$  $\vec{X}.\vec{w} > c \ (positive \ samples)$  $\vec{X}.\vec{w} < c \ (negative \ samples)$ 



#### Margin in SVM

Without offset

$$y = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \underline{w} \, . \, \underline{x} > 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } \underline{w} \, . \, \underline{x} \le 0 \end{cases}$$

• b = 0

• Hyperplane through origin

With offset

$$y = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \underline{w} \cdot \underline{x} + b > 0\\ -1, & \text{if } \underline{w} \cdot \underline{x} + b \le 0 \end{cases}$$





#### Maximum margin classifier



#### Maximum margin classifier



To find  $\underline{\theta}^*$ : minimize  $\|\underline{\theta}\|$  subject to  $y_i(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_i) \ge 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n$ 



- This is a quadratic programming problem (quadratic objective, linear constraints)
- The solution is unique, typically obtained in the dual





## Is sparse solution good?



• We can simulate test performance by evaluating Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation error

$$LOOCV(\underline{\theta}^*) \le \frac{\# \text{ of support vectors}}{n}$$

Intuitively:

if you remove the support vector from the training set, and you receive the support vector as a test point, then you would make a mistake

#### Linear classifiers (with offset)

• A linear classifier with parameters  $(\underline{\theta}, \theta_0)$ 

$$f(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}, \theta_0) = \operatorname{sign} \left( \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x} + \theta_0 \right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x} + \theta_0 > 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x} + \theta_0 \le 0 \end{cases}$$





Still a quadratic programming problem (quadratic objective, linear constraints)

## The impact of offset

 Adding the offset parameter to the linear classifier can substantially increase the margin



- Several desirable properties
  - maximizes the margin on the training set ( $\approx$  good generalization)
  - the solution is unique and sparse ( pprox good generalization)

• But...

- the solution is sensitive to outliers, labeling errors, as they may drastically change the resulting max-margin boundary
- if the training set is not linearly separable, there's no solution!

Relaxed quadratic optimization problem

penalty for constraint violation

minimize 
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\underline{\theta}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$
 subject to  
 $y_i(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_i + \theta_0) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$   
 $\xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$ 

slack variables permit us to violate some of the margin constraints

Relaxed quadratic optimization problem

penalty for constraint violation

minimize 
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\underline{\theta}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$
 subject to  
 $y_i(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_i + \theta_0) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$   
 $\xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$ 

large  $C \Rightarrow$  few (if any) violations small  $C \Rightarrow$  many violations slack variables permit us to violate some of the margin constraints

Relaxed quadratic optimization problem

penalty for constraint violation

minimize  $\frac{1}{2} \|\underline{\theta}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$  subject to  $y_i(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_i + \theta_0) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$   $\xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$ slack v

large  $C \Rightarrow$  few (if any) violations small  $C \Rightarrow$  many violations slack variables permit us to violate some of the margin constraints

we can still interpret the margin as  $1/\|\underline{\theta}^*\|$ 



#### Support vectors and slack



#### Support vectors and slack



### Support vectors and slack





• C=100









• C=0. I



## Examples

C potentially affects the solution even in the separable case



## Examples

C potentially affects the solution even in the separable case



## Examples

C potentially affects the solution even in the separable case



#### Non-linear dataset





#### Different Types of kernel

Polynomial Sigmoid RBF

$$\kappa(X1, X2) = (X1^T \cdot X2 + 1)^d$$
$$\kappa(X1, X2) = \tanh(\alpha x^T y + x)$$
$$\frac{-||(x1 - x2)||^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

#### Polynomial Kernel

•  $K(X1, X2) = \phi(X1).\phi(X2)$ 

$$X1^T \cdot X2 = \begin{bmatrix} X1\\X2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X1 & X2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} X1^2 & X1 \cdot X2\\X1 \cdot X2 & X2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$