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## Naïve Bayes in a Nutshell

Bayes rule:

$$
P\left(Y=y_{k} \mid X_{1} \ldots X_{n}\right)=\frac{P\left(Y=y_{k}\right) P\left(X_{1} \ldots X_{n} \mid Y=y_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j} P\left(Y=y_{j}\right) P\left(X_{1} \ldots X_{n} \mid Y=y_{j}\right)}
$$

Assuming conditional independence among $X_{i}$ 's:

$$
P\left(Y=y_{k} \mid X_{1} \ldots X_{n}\right)=\frac{P\left(Y=y_{k}\right) \Pi_{i} P\left(X_{i} \mid Y=y_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j} P\left(Y=y_{j}\right) \Pi_{i} P\left(X_{i} \mid Y=y_{j}\right)}
$$

So, classification rule for $X^{\text {new }}=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\rangle$ is:

$$
Y^{\text {new }} \leftarrow \arg \max _{y_{k}} P\left(Y=y_{k}\right) \prod_{i} P\left(X_{i}^{\text {new }} \mid Y=y_{k}\right)
$$

## What if we have continuous $X_{i}$ ?

Eg., image classification: $X_{i}$ is real-valued $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ pixel
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Gaussian
Distribution
(also called "Normal")
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ is a probability
 density function, whose integral (not sum) is 1

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}
$$

The probability that $X$ will fall into the interval
$(a, b)$ is given by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x
$$

- Expected, or mean value of $X, E[X]$, is

$$
E[X]=\mu
$$

- Variance of $X$ is

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sigma^{2}
$$

- Standard deviation of $X, \sigma_{X}$, is

$$
\sigma_{X}=\sigma
$$

## Gaussian

Distribution
(also called "Normal")
$p(x)$ is a probability density function, whose integral (not sum) is 1


The probability that $X$ will fall into the interval
$(a, b)$ is given by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x
$$

$N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$

- Expected, or mean value of $X, E[X]$, is

$$
E[X]=\mu
$$

- Variance of $X$ is

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sigma^{2}
$$

- Standard deviation of $X, \sigma_{X}$, is

$$
\sigma_{X}=\sigma
$$

## What if we have continuous $X_{i}$ ?

Gaussian Naïve Bayes (GNB): assume

$$
p\left(X_{i}=x \mid Y=y_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{i k}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu_{i k}}{\sigma_{i k}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Sometimes assume variance

- is independent of $Y$ (i.e., $\sigma_{i}$ ),
- or independent of $X_{i}$ (i.e., $\sigma_{k}$ )
- or both (i.e., $\sigma$ )


## Gaussian Naïve Bayes Algorithm - continuous X

 (but still discrete Y )- Train Naïve Bayes (examples) for each value $y_{k}$
estimate* $\pi_{k} \equiv P\left(Y=y_{k}\right)$
for each attribute $X_{i}$ estimate $P\left(X_{i} \mid Y=y_{k}\right)$
- class conditional mean $\mu_{i k}$, variance $\sigma_{i k}$
- Classify ( $X^{\text {new }}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y^{\text {new }} \leftarrow \arg \max _{y_{k}} P\left(Y=y_{k}\right) \prod_{i} P\left(X_{i}^{\text {new }} \mid Y=y_{k}\right) \\
& Y^{\text {new }} \leftarrow \arg \max _{y_{k}} \pi_{k} \prod_{i} \mathcal{N}\left(X_{i}^{\text {new }} ; \mu_{i k}, \sigma_{i k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

* probabilities must sum to 1 , so need estimate only $n-1$ parameters...


## Estimating Parameters: $Y$ discrete, $X_{i}$ continuous

Maximum likelihood estimates:
ith feature
kth class

$$
\widehat{\sigma}_{i k}^{2}=\frac{1}{\sum_{j} \delta\left(Y^{j}=y_{k}\right)} \sum_{j}\left(X_{i}^{j}-\widehat{\mu}_{i k}\right)^{2} \delta\left(Y^{j}=y_{k}\right)
$$

## Estimating Parameters: $Y$ discrete, $X_{i}$ continuous

## Maximum likelihood estimates:



Mean $=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$
Where:

- $n$ is the number of data points in the class.
- $x_{i}$ represents the feature values for each data point within that class.


## Estimating Parameters: $Y$ discrete, $X_{i}$ continuous

Maximum likelihood estimates:
jth training example
ith feature kth class

$$
\delta()=1 \text { if }\left(y^{j}=y_{k}\right)
$$

```
# Sample data for two classes (continuous feature X)
class_1_data = np.array([2.1, 3.5, 1.2, 4.8, 2.9])
class_2_data = np.array([6.3, 5.7, 7.2, 5.0, 6.8])
# Calculate the class-conditional means for feature X
mean_class_1_X = np.mean(class_1_data)
mean_class_2_X = np.mean(class_2_data)
```
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How many parameters must we estimate for Gaussian Naïve Bayes if Y has k possible values, $\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{X} 1, \ldots \mathrm{Xn}>$ ?

$$
p\left(X_{i}=x \mid Y=y_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{i k}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu_{i k}}{\sigma_{i k}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Mean ( $\mu$ ) and Variance ( $\sigma^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ ) for Each Feature for Each Class: For each class, you need to estimate the mean $(\mu)$ and variance ( $\sigma^{\wedge} 2$ ) for each of the $n$ features. So, for each class, there are 2 n parameters to estimate ( n means and n variances).
 there are k parameters to estimate.

$$
\text { Total Parameters = k (Class Priors) }+\mathrm{k} \text { * } 2 n \text { (Means and Variances) }
$$

## Gaussian <br> Distribution

(also called "Normal")
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ is a probability density function, whose integral (not sum) is 1


The probability that $X$ will fall into the interval $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$
$(a, b)$ is given by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x
$$

- Expected, or mean value of $X, E[X]$, is

$$
E[X]=\mu
$$

## Multivariate <br> Normal <br> Distribution

Univariate vs Bivariate

## Multivariate

 Normal Distribution

The probability that $X$ will fall into the interval $(a, b)$ is given by

$$
p(x ; \mu, \Sigma)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d / 2}|\Sigma|^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)
$$



Eta $=\mathrm{I}$
$\mathrm{Eta}=0.6 \mathrm{I}$
$\mathrm{Eta}=2 \mathrm{I}$

as $\sum$ becomes larger, the Gautso
and as it becomes smaller, the disstritbution becomes more "compressed."

## Estimating Parameters: $Y$ discrete, $X_{i}$ continuous

Maximum likelihood estimates:

$\hat{\sigma}_{i k}^{2}=\frac{1}{\sum_{j} \delta\left(Y^{j} \leqslant y_{k}\right)} \sum_{j}\left(X_{i}^{j}-\hat{\mu}_{i k}\right)^{2} \delta\left(Y^{j}=y_{k}\right)$

Calculate Co-variance instead , $\Sigma$


## GDA and Logistic Regression

- if $p(x \mid y)$ is multivariate gaussian (with shared $\Sigma$ ), then $p(y \mid x)$ necessarily follows a logistic function.
- Opposite is not true
- Hence GDA makes stronger assumption
- GDA makes stronger modeling assumptions, and is more data efficient when the modeling assumptions are correct or at least approximately correct.
- Logistic regression makes weaker assumptions, and is significantly more robust to deviations from modeling assumptions.
- In practice, logistic regression is used more often than GDA.

$$
p\left(y=1 \mid x ; \phi, \Sigma, \mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\theta^{T} x\right)}
$$

